

## Delirium After Acute Care Hospitalizations in Both Institutional and Home Settings

Presenters: Chan Mi Park, MD, MPH and David Levine, MD, MPH, MA

Time	Section
02:18	<b><u>Introduction of Chan Mi Park and David Levine</u></b>
03:40	<b><u>Persistence of Delirium in Post-acute Care at Skilled Nursing Facilities (Chan Mi Park)</u></b>
04:34	<b><u>Study Design and Data</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cross-sectional study</li> <li>• 5% Medicare random sample</li> <li>• Minimum Data Set (MDS) assessments between January 1 and December 31 in 2014 and 2019 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ MDS is a federally mandated assessment for nursing home residents and should be assessed at the time of the admission and discharge and quarterly and annually for long-term care residents. Also performed when there is a significant change in status, such as delirium</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
05:14	<b><u>Study Population and Exposure</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Older adults who were admitted to an SNF with delirium+ after acute hospitalization in 2014 and 2019</li> <li>• Exposure: Year 2019 compared with year 2014 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Why comparing these two years? → because those years straddle implementation of the IMPACT Act, giving a natural experiment to examine</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
05:56	<b><u>Improving Medicare Post-Acute Care Transformation (IMPACT) Act</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implemented in 2014</li> <li>• Requires all post-acute care providers to collect and report standardized patient assessment data</li> <li>• Aims: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Improved care coordination</li> <li>○ Discharge planning</li> <li>○ Feedback to PAC providers on their performance with respect to quality measures</li> <li>○ Intends for standardized post-acute care data to improve Medicare beneficiary outcomes through shared-decision making, care coordination, enhanced discharge planning</li> </ul> </li> <li>• So comparing implementation year 2014 with 2019, can test whether those policies translated to better delirium outcomes</li> </ul>
06:46	<b><u>Measurement</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demographic information (age, sex, and race)</li> <li>• Patient characteristics (cognitive function scale [intact, mild, moderate, or severe], comorbidity, functional status, behavioral symptoms, hearing and speech impairment, frailty [claims data])</li> <li>• SNF-related factors (restraint use, antipsychotic use, physical and occupational therapy minutes during the SNF stay, length of stay) → modifiable factors, important targets for future interventions</li> </ul>
07:58	<b><u>Outcomes of Interest</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All started with delirium, so interested in the change of delirium within 30 days (defined by CAM)</li> <li>• Resolved delirium within 30 days</li> <li>• Persistent delirium within 30 days</li> <li>• Death within 30 days</li> </ul>
08:53	<b><u>Study Population and Design</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acute Hospital → Skilled Nursing Facility → Delirium+ → Delirium resolution OR Persistent Delirium OR Death (within 30 days)</li> <li>• Repeated this for year 2019, then compared the rates of change in delirium between these 2 years</li> </ul>
09:39	<b><u>Statistical Analysis</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Multinomial logistic regression <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Comparing probabilities of persistent delirium or death to resolved delirium (ref) between 2014 and 2019</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Sequentially included different sets of variables (patient demographic, patient health-related variables, SNF care-related variables)</li> <li>• All within SNF outcome correlations using robust variance (NPI)</li> </ul>
10:33	<p><b><u>Results</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Figure 1. Study Flowchart <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2014: 4.3% delirium rate at SNF admission</li> <li>○ 2019: 2.5% delirium rate at SNF admission</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Table 1. Patient Characteristics for 2014 and 2019→ they are not that different <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SNF related factors: antipsychotic use and restraint use slightly higher in 2014; length of stay and physical therapy duration shorter in 2019</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Table 2. Comparison of Rate of Persistent Delirium and Mortality Between 2014 and 2019 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 2019 had more resolved delirium and fewer persistent delirium than 2014</li> <li>○ 4 models: model 1-unadjusted, 2- model 1 plus demographic factors, 3- model 2 plus health-related conditions, 4- model 3 plus SNF care-related factors <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ The adjustment did not alter the estimate of 0.68, meaning that patient and SNF related factors do not explain the improvement of delirium change in 2019 compared to 2014</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• Figure 2. Comparison of Outcomes Between 2014 and 2019</li> <li>• Table 3. Comparison of Rates of Persistent Delirium and Mortality between 2014 and 2019 stratified by age, sex, frailty, and dementia status after multivariable adjustment <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ All estimates were consistent throughout all the subgroups</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
15:50	<p><b><u>Discussion</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Notable improvements in delirium resolution among patients admitted to SNF from 2014 to 2019 with reduction in persistent delirium and death</li> <li>• These improvements were not attributable to differences in demographic characteristics, health-related conditions, and SNF care-related factors</li> <li>• However, approximately 50% still have delirium during their SNF stay</li> <li>• Potential factors (indirect influences) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Enhanced care coordination</li> <li>○ Quality reporting</li> <li>○ MDS assessments updates</li> <li>○ HELP</li> <li>○ Age-Friendly Health Systems Initiatives</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
18:11	<p><b><u>Limitations</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CAM assessment in MDS</li> <li>• Missing follow-up CAM assessment</li> <li>• Unmeasured confounding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Facility-level factors (e.g. bed size or staff-to-patient ratios)</li> <li>○ Other policy changes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
19:51	<p><b><u>How Can We Impact Delirium With Advanced Home-Based Care (David Levine)</u></b></p>
20:36	<p><b><u>Objectives</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Discuss 2 out of 3 topics→ Topics selected: (1) Describe the ADRD pre-enrolled home hospital care model and (3) Discuss incident reduction in delirium for home hospital patients</li> </ul>
22:12	<p><b><u>Skipping the Hospital: Acute hospital care at home for people living with dementia (Topic #1)</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NIA funded trial and have not started enrolling yet</li> </ul>
22:35	<p><b><u>Why Home Hospital for PLWD?</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Need <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Hospitalization is a common occurrence for many PLWD (PLWD are hospitalized at twice the rate as older adults without ADRD)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ The harm of hospitalization is magnified for PLWD (5 times the odds of an adverse event)</li> <li>○ Few home hospital efforts specifically care for PLWD (perceived risk, lack of clear goals of care)</li> </ul>
23:18	<b><u>What is pre-enrollment for Home Hospital?</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Study design, Model, Preliminary inclusion/exclusion criteria, Preliminary outcomes</li> </ul>
23:26	<b><u>Study Design</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flowchart: enroll them before an acute illness, get randomized to either home hospital or usual care <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ In home hospital arm, if acute illness occurs, patient can use tablet to talk with nurse and get evaluated and then if need in person care a paramedic can come to the patient's home, and then can home hospitalize if necessary</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
24:48	<b><u>Pre-enrolled Services</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Structured serious illness conversation</li> <li>• Caregiver burnout resources</li> <li>• Home safety assessment</li> <li>• Video and vital sign equipment</li> <li>• Acute illness evaluation on-demand (video &amp; in-home)</li> <li>• Direct-from-home hospitalization</li> </ul>
25:21	<b><u>Home Hospital Services</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2 daily nurse/medic visits</li> <li>• 1 daily doctor visit</li> <li>• IV medications</li> <li>• Respiratory treatments</li> <li>• Remote vital signs monitoring</li> <li>• Diagnostic testing</li> <li>• 24/7 phone, video &amp; urgent visit availability</li> <li>• Additional home health aide care</li> <li>• Delirium prevention measures</li> <li>• Structured ongoing SIC (serious illness conversations)</li> </ul>
25:50	<b><u>Framework</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Flowchart</li> </ul>
26:42	<b><u>Operating Characteristics</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 1.5 year enrollment (October 1, 2025 through March 31, 2027)</li> <li>• About 200 patients total with about 100 patients to the intervention with a goal of about 3 enrollments weekly</li> </ul>
26:58	<b><u>Preliminary Inclusion/Exclusion</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inclusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Diagnosis of moderate or severe dementia</li> <li>○ Resides in a private or assisted living residence with or nearby (&lt;15 min travel time) to a family caregiver</li> <li>○ Resides within the MGB home hospital catchment area</li> <li>○ Has had a least 1 hospitalization in the last 12 months</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Exclusion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Undomiciled</li> <li>○ No heat (in winter) or A/C (in summer)</li> <li>○ DV, police custody</li> <li>○ Resides in a SNF</li> <li>○ On ventilator</li> <li>○ Requires IV controlled substances</li> <li>○ Family caregiver unable to maintain communication with team</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Additional secondary conditions</li> </ul>
27:48	<p><b><u>Outcomes</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Primary Outcome: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Time at home → days at home after acute care episode</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Secondary Outcomes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 30-day post-acute events (30-day unplanned readmission or mortality)</li> <li>○ Delirium (UB-2 and 3D-CAM)</li> <li>○ Health related quality of life (DEMQOL, DEMQOL-Proxy)</li> <li>○ Physical activity (time supine &amp; sedentary during acute episode)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Exploratory Outcomes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ SIC (SIC and MOLST completion)</li> <li>○ Time at home (days at home over 1 year)</li> <li>○ Patient experience (Picker Survey-15)</li> <li>○ Functional status (ADCS ADL Inventory)</li> <li>○ Safety (Adverse event)</li> <li>○ Acute episode utilization (Diagnostics, treatments, consultations)</li> <li>○ 30-day post-acute events (ED&lt; observation, and SNF use)</li> <li>○ Cost (medical expenditure, direct cost accounting)</li> <li>○ 1-year utilization (institutionalization, office visits [primary, specialty care], admissions, diagnostics [lab, imaging])</li> <li>○ Cognitive status (dementia severity)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
29:30	<p><b><u>Safe at Home: Patient Safety During Home Hospital (Topic #3)</u></b></p>
30:16	<p><b><u>Definition of Adverse Event (AE)</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Unintended physical injuries resulting from or contributed to by healthcare management (including the absence of medical treatment) that require additional monitoring, treatments, or hospitalizations, or that result in death <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ AEs may occur with appropriate care or as a result of medical error</li> <li>○ AEs encompass both non-preventable and preventable events</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
30:47	<p><b><u>Methods</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Setting &amp; Design <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 3 home hospital programs in Boston</li> <li>○ Feb 2020-Aug 2023</li> <li>○ EHR retrospective review</li> <li>○ Irrespective of arm, entire episode analyzed</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Exclusions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Undomiciled, resides in skilled nursing facility, domestic violence screen positive, resides beyond catchment, hemodialysis, acute myocardial infarction, acute stroke, acute substance use disorder, acute psychiatric exacerbation, code status, IV opioids, SBP&gt;200, HR&gt;150, RR&gt;35, or T&gt;103.9</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Propensity Score (day by day re-assessed control patients) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Sex, age, race, ethnicity, partner status, education, employment, preferred language, payor status, area deprivation index, elixhauser comorbidity, smoking status, BMI, # of hospitalizations prior 6 months, # ED visits prior 6 months, code status, calendar quarter, eCART score at admission, emergency severity index, site, day clinically eligible</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Diagnosis-Specific Criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Pneumonia &amp; Heart Failure (separate propensity score for each diagnosis)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Automated AEs and Triggers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Inpatient mortality, mortality within 72hrs of discharge, hospital-acquired pressure injury, CLABSI, CAUTI, Hospital-acquired AKI, Hospital-acquired delirium, Severe hypoglycemia,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	Hospital-acquired C Dif, Hospital-acquired MRSA, code or rapid response, home to BAM transfer, ED visit within 48hrs of discharge, Diphenhydramine administration, PTT>100
34:04	<b><u>Results</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sociodemographics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home and BAM were well matched for sociodemographic characteristics</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Chronic Clinical Characteristics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home and BAM were well matched for chronic clinical characteristics (fairly sick group)</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Acute Clinical Characteristics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home and BAM were well-matched for acute clinical characteristics, except BAM was less emergent</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Diagnoses <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home and BAM had similar frequencies for diagnoses</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Pneumonia Characteristics <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home and BAM were well-matched for specific pneumonia criteria</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Adverse Events <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Seeing incident reductions in adverse events for Home vs. BAM</li> <li>○ Drastic difference in delirium events for Home vs. BAM</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Triggers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home was associated with fewer triggers than BAM for nearly all triggers</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Total Harm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home was associated with fewer safety events per patient than BAM</li> <li>○ Took out delirium to look at everything else since delirium was so significant</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Harm by Diagnosis <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home was associated with fewer AEs than BAM across all diagnoses</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Utilization <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Home was associated with less utilization than BAM</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
38:38	<b><u>Discussion</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Reductions in adverse events and real-world data</li> <li>• Rigorous matching</li> <li>• Real-world data</li> </ul>
38:57	<b><u>Limitations</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Still to come <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Manual chart review for exclusions in unstructured data</li> <li>○ Adjudication for AEs</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Missed AEs not in the EHR</li> <li>• Generalizability: 3 sites</li> <li>• Association, not causation</li> </ul>
39:45	<b><u>Urgent Call</u></b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• To home hospitalize our patients</li> <li>• To identify evidence-based interventions to curtail harm at home- few exist</li> </ul>
40:09	<b><u>New Journal: JAHM- The Journal of Advanced Home Medicine</u></b>
40:40	<b><u>Questions and Answers</u></b>