Measuring Delirium in the Face of ADRD Presenter: Richard Jones, ScD

Time	Section
01:22	Introduction of Rich Jones
03:22	Outline
	• 1. Why is measuring delirium in dementia an issue?
	• 2. What evidence is there that measurement differs in dementia?
	• 3. Potential strategies and work in progress on measurement of delirium in dementia
04:08	<u>Is this interesting?</u>
	New funding opportunity announcement from NIA
	 Call for proposal for cognitive fluctuations in the context of ADRD
05:45	Why is measuring delirium in dementia an issue?
	• Delirium and dementia: overlapping signs and symptoms (table of DSM criteria for Delirium and
	Major Neurocognitive Disorder lined up by overlapping symptoms)
	 Cognitive impairment
	• Sleep disturbance
	 Emotional/mood disturbance
	Distinguishing features are hard to assess
	• Timing of onset
	• Cause(s) of symptoms
	• Pattern of cognitive impairments (attention, awareness, vs. other domains)
	• Path Diagram with delirium and dementia based on signs and symptoms (only with criteria in DSM)
	• Limits of the Mini-Mental State examination (early validation study)→ validated it by combining
10.04	delirium and dementia as a single outcome without distinguishing the two)
10:04	What evidence is there that measurement of delirium differs in dementia?
	Differential sensitivity and specificity
	 Several publications reviewing the literature on delirium and dementia DSD (delirium superimposed on dementia): publication showed lower sensitivity and
	 DSD (delifium superimposed on dementia): publication showed lower sensitivity and specificity on tools for DSD compared to those without dementia
	 Only two studies found that the standardized tools (3D-CAM and 4-AT) were more sensitive
	but less specific on delirium when dementia is present
	• What about the measurement of delirium severity?
	• Could only find 1 paper in reviewing literature that concerned differences between delirium
	ratings of severity according to the presence or absence of dementia (published in 1998)
	 Did detailed analyses, but found no evidence for differences of the presentation of
	delirium according to whether a patient had dementia
	 Sample was small (18 delirious-no dementia, 43 delirious-with dementia)
	• Only large effects could have been detected (Cohen's $d \ge 0.8$)
	 Cannot rule out more subtle delirium measurement differences according to dementia
	Conclusion
	• Rich did not identify a lot of high-quality research on this question
	 Few studies with both demented and non-demented
	 Small sample size, especially for studies of delirium severity
	• No strong evidence of measurement differences
	 Sensitivity and specificity are sample statistics and not properties of a test or
16.24	instrument
16:24	Potential strategies and work in progress on measurement of delirium in dementia
	• Variance decomposition
1	• Royall and Palmer's variance decomposition approach to modeling dementia progression

	• Their models separate covariance in common to neuropsychological performance from
	covariance common to neuropsychological performance and functional impairment
	• This approach could be applied to delirium (severity) measurement
	 Figure from one of their papers (factor analysis model)
	• Publication of a validation study of their approach
	• BASIL-II
	 Background BASIL-I
	 Developed a new delirium severity instrument, but not treated for people with
	dementia
	 Systematic Literature review, convene expert panels, develop definitions and
	frameworks, psychometric data analysis of instruments for agreement, develop new
	instruments in field study data based on results from psychometric data analysis
	 Summary table of factor models
	• DEL-S instrument \rightarrow validation study
	Delirium item Bank: Utilization to Evaluate and Create Delirium Instruments
	 BASIL II focused on developing severity measures for persons with and without dementia
	 Currently in Field test phase and collecting data, almost done and will be moving into
	the psychometric testing phase soon
	 Expert Panel review of domains of delirium severity in dementia
	 Revised domain framework for the assessment of delirium severity in the context of
	dementia
	 BASIL II Field Study
	• Prospective cohort study of older adults, target sample size is 500, conducted
	in three sites (three different type of patients)
	up
	Adjudication panel for final diagnoses
	 Trained RA administering DEL-S and DEL-S-AD
	 Psychometric modeling and modification of DEL-S-AD
32:34	Conclusion and discussion
	• Delirium and dementia share similar presentation, which would seem to make differential assessment
	difficult, but there is not strong evidence to support that in the literature
	• BASIL-LL will be large enough to
	• Detect subtle delirium measurement difference according to dementia status, quantify their
	effect on (a) case identification and (b) severity measurement
	 Develop new measures of delirium severity (DEL-S-AD) that are optimized for the rating of
	delirium severity in the presence of dementia
	 Harmonize DEL-S and DEL-S-AD scales such that data from the two measures can be
	combined and compared
24.14	*
34:14	Questions and Answers