Building a Research Enterprise Presenter: E. Wesley Ely, MD, MPH

Slide #	Section
3	Research Success
5	• Time
	 Cross talk
	 Closs talk "use what we already know"
	•
4	2020 scientists must be 1990 scientists first, then become excellent 2030 scientists Considering Scope of Research Along Different Axes
4	Axis based on types of clinical and translational research
7	 Axis based on methodological foci (slide 31: design, measurement, analysis) T0 to T4 Research
/	Infographic depicting research flow
8	Careers in Translational Clinical Research
0	• 11% of U.S. medical school graduates plan careers significantly devoted to research (n=1600)
	 Clinical researchers are considered by some to be an endangered species
	 "Serious doubts about the viability of careers based on patient-oriented research" Without such research, we can't close the loop on discovery and advancement
14	Clinical Researchthe path to success
14	Protected time (details slide 15)
	 Tool box formation (details slide 16)
	 The mentor (details slide 17)
	 Developing the ??s (details slide 18)
	 Building the team (details slide 20, 21, 22, 25)
	 IRB issues
	Consent
	 Study Design
	Funding
	Other issues
27	Major Concepts
21	The guiding principle MUST BE the patient
	 That means it is not career, money, promotion, getting a specific grant, etc.
	 Driven by passion
	 Decide in what area you can be THE BEST
	• Determine how you'd feel if you failed; why would that matter to you?
32	Another Axis for Types of clinical research
	• Quantitative
	• Establish incidence, prevalence, determine treatment effectiveness, measure risk
	• Qualitative
	• Describe phenomenon, understand thinking or behavior, "why" treatments do or don't work
	• Rigorously done qualitative research provides insights that quantitative research can not
	• Poorly done, qualitative research is as useless as poorly done quantitative research
	Quality improvement
	• When does it become research?
33	Conducting and Analyzing Cohort Studies
	Intensely interdisciplinary and technical
	• Many things can never be randomized, such as "delirium group" vs. "no delirium group"

	• Attributing cause and effect is limited yet robust predictor methodology is available
	Key methodological issues must be considered such as time-immortal bias
36	Epidemiology
	Changing delirium rates
	• Subtypes of delirium (septic, pharm, etc.)
	Relationship with LTCI
	• Dementia type (amnestic vs. non-amnestic, vascular vs. AD)
37	Tools
	 Clinical instruments (ICDSC and CAM-ICU, severity scales)
	• EEG, fronto-temporal
	• Neuroimaging (MRI, fMRI, PET)
	Biomarkers
38	<u>Understanding/Predicting Outcomes (examples)</u>
	Clinical prediction rules for both delirium and LTCI
	Caregiver burden
	• Inter-relationships with other psychiatric illnesses such as depression, PTSD, dementia
39/40	Planning an RCT
	• Ensure that similar studies aren't ongoing or haven't been completed
	• If possible, undertake RCT as part of broader research program
	• Simple rather than complex designs (2 parallel arms vs. factorial)
	"Minimal data" collection strategies are often regretted
	Primary outcome- patient-oriented rather than surrogates or biological markers
	Answer questions that clinicians consider
	• Important
	• Select an achievable goal (sample size)
	• Involve experienced trialists, biostatisticians, and multiple pertinent disciplines
41	Clinical Trials
	• Pharmacological interventions (sedation and analgesia, antipsychotics, anticholinergic modulation)
	Sleep optimization and modification
	Multi-component interventions
	Physical/cognitive rehabilitation
42	Example RCT Organizational Chart
	Infographic flow chart
45	As researchers
	• We are not satisfied with status quo
	• We ask questions and find answers
	• Driven by the desire to improve the lives of both our patients and those whom we'll never meet
	So this is YOUR study, YOUR time, and YOUR vocation
47	Miscellaneous issues critical to ensure success
	Database and statistical analysis
	• Publish (write, write, and write)
	 Tips for discussion section: Horton R, (editor of Lancet). JAMA 2002;287:2775-2778
	• Authorship
	Formulate next questions
	Modify team for next study
50	Institutional Review Board (IRB)
	Know your IRB personnel
	• Rules are now in evolution and somewhat of an impr oving target

	• HIPPA (health insurance portability and accountability act of 1996), as you know, changed
	everything!
	• Never assume you "don't need an IRB approval"
52	Informed Consent in Critically III
	Incompetent patient and surrogate consent
	Waived consent
	• Participation of the family (they'll be under stress, obtaining a 2 nd consent form)
	• Implied (presumed) consent in Emergency Setting (vasopressin and CPR)
	• Reconsenting the patient
	• Is consent required for quality improvement projects?
53	Funding pros and cons
	• NIH (K awards [K23 and K08], loan repayment, R01)
	• VA
	• Industry
	• Foundation
	• Talk to the institute or granting agency
	• Grant writing is a "team effort"
54	Components of a Grant- selling your idea
	• We have the following specific aims
	• The reason we think this is important is because
	• In response to this issue, we, the investigators have already conducted the following germane areas
	of work
	• Our plan has the following components
	• Pros/cons/caveats/timeline