| | Delirium Symptom Interview |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Instrument | NOTE: This card is populated with information from the instrument's original validation study only. |
| Acronym | DSI |
| Primary use | Delirium Screening |
| Area assessed (Number of questions) | 7 areas assessed: disorientation, disturbance of consciousness, disruption of sleep/wake cycle, perceptual disturbance, incoherence of speech, change in psychomotor activity, fluctuating behavior 32 items |
| Description | An interview that utilizes operational definitions of delirium in conjunction with other data to define individual delirium cases. Instrument can be administered on a daily basis; multiple administrations of DSI can track symptoms over time. Instrument based on DSM-III criteria and can be administered by non-clinicians. |
| Versions | 1 |
| Scoring information | Each item is scored on an individual rating scale and is summed to create subscores for areas assessed. Areas assessed are scored 'present' or 'not present' dependent upon answers. |
| Cognitive testing | There is no formal cognitive testing embedded in the interview; cognitive testing is not required to complete the assessment, but can be used to aid in delirium screening |
| Estimated time to rate | 10-15 minutes to conduct interview |
| Require trained rater | Yes – clinician or lay rater |
| Administer to | Patient, in-person |
| How to obtain | Included in original validation study here: <u>http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/002383099200500103</u> (Note: article may be behind paywall) |
| Licensing Fee* | None |
| Languages available | English |
| Highest COSMIN** rating | 4/6 [†] |
| Test Performance | Albert 1992 |
| Characteristics | •Reliability (inter-rater), k=0.90; agreement between DSI and physician's (neurologist and psychiatrist) consensus of delirium diagnosis, k=0.93 |
| | Sensitivity/Specificity (Compared to physicians' consensus): 0.90/0.80 |
| | •Positive/Negative predictive value (Compared to physicians' consensus): 0.87/0.84 |

* Fees and licensing information is effective as of 2018, but is subject to change over time

** COSMIN is used to rate a study's evaluation of a survey or test's measurement properties. COSMIN does NOT rate the instrument itself, but helps readers understand if they can have confidence in the results of studies evaluating measurement properties of surveys and tests. For example, a rigorous study evaluating a test with poor measurement properties will receive a "good" COSMIN rating, while a poorly-conducted study evaluating a test with good measurement properties will receive a "good" COSMIN rating, while a poorly-conducted study evaluating a test with good measurement properties will receive a "poor" COSMIN rating. Small sample size can impact all COSMIN ratings. You must consider both the COSMIN rating and the results of studies provided when forming your opinion about that test. *COSMIN ratings shown are based solely on the instrument's original validation study*.

+ COSMIN breakdown: content validity: GOOD, effect indicators: NONE, internal consistency: GOOD, inter-rater reliability: GOOD, construct validity: NONE, external validity: GOOD

Reference:

Albert, M. S., Levkoff, S. E., Reilly, C., Liptzin, B., Pilgrim, D., Cleary, P. D., ... & Rowe, J. W. (1992). The delirium symptom interview: an interview for the detection of delirium symptoms in hospitalized patients. *Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry and Neurology*, 5(1), 14-21.

Reviews:

Last updated on October 27, 2020. If you are aware of any updates required for this document, please notify us via nidus@hsl.harvard.edu



This work was created by the NIDUS Measurement and Harmonization Core (Leaders Richard N. Jones, ScD and Dale M. Needham, MD, PhD), funded by NIA R24AG054259, and is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>. Requested citation: NIDUS-Network for Investigation of Delirium: Unifying Scientists, https://deliriumnetwork.org/



De, J., Wand, A.P.F. (2015). Delirium Screening: A Systematic Review of Delirium Screening Tools in Hospitalized Patients. *The Gerontologist*, 55(6):1079-1099. doi:10.1093/geront/gnv100

Last updated on December 7, 2020. If you are aware of any updates required for this document, please notify us via nidus@hsl.harvard.edu



This work was created by the NIDUS Measurement and Harmonization Core (Leaders Richard N. Jones, ScD and Dale M. Needham, MD, PhD), funded by NIA R24AG054259, and is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/</u>. Requested citation: NIDUS-Network for Investigation of Delirium: Unifying Scientists, https://deliriumnetwork.org/

